



Article

# Feminist Jurisprudence: A Critique of Patriarchal Structures in Legal Systems and the Pursuit of Gender Justice

## Article History:

### Name of Author:

Dr. Kartik Agre<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Shahista Salimkhan Inamdar<sup>2</sup>, Mr. Vaibhav Kumar<sup>3</sup>,  
Punyashree S. Biswal<sup>4</sup>

### Affiliation:

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor (Senior Scale), School of Law, UPES, Dehradun.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Navjeevan Law College Nashik affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University.

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, TMCLLS, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad.

<sup>4</sup>Research Scholar, Damodaram Sanjivayya National Law University, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh

**How to cite this article:** Dr. Kartik Agre, Dr. Shahista Salimkhan Inamdar, Mr. Vaibhav Kumar, Punyashree S. Biswal, Feminist Jurisprudence: A Critique of Patriarchal Structures in Legal Systems and the Pursuit of Gender Justice. *J Int Commer Law Technol.* 2025;6(1): 307-312.

©2025 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

**Abstract:** Feminist jurisprudence critically analyzes how legal systems, often rooted in patriarchy, perpetuate gender inequality by favoring male perspectives and marginalizing women's experiences. Its goal is to reform these systems to achieve true gender justice. This involves identifying biases in laws and legal precedents, recognizing that both customary and codified laws can embed discrimination, as seen historically with covertures. Even seemingly neutral state interventions can reinforce patriarchal power structures if they fail to address underlying inequalities. Legislative reforms play an important role in addressing gender imbalances and can be accomplished by amending or enacting laws that promote fair equilibrium in property, political participation, and access to legal rights. In addition, repealing outdated legislation that promotes gender bias, along with adopting legislation aimed at women's equality in the workplace including maternity pay and protection from discrimination, is also a significant component of legislative reform. International agreements have helped facilitate national and state legislation aimed at improving women's rights and protections at the workplace, including in India.

**Keywords:** Feminist, Jurisprudence, Gender Justice, Family law.

## INTRODUCTION

Feminist jurisprudence critically analyzes how legal systems, often rooted in patriarchy, perpetuate gender inequality by favoring male perspectives and marginalizing women's experiences. Its goal is to reform these systems to achieve true gender justice. This involves identifying biases in laws and legal precedents, recognizing that both customary and codified laws can embed discrimination, as seen historically with covertures. Even seemingly neutral state interventions can reinforce patriarchal power structures if they fail to address

underlying inequalities. While law itself isn't inherently patriarchal, its historical development often entrenches male-dominated viewpoints. Consequently, feminist scholars scrutinize legal frameworks for their role in perpetuating gendered harms and traditional roles, such as the Maternity Benefit Act placing the primary childcare burden on women. This critique extends to judicial decisions, where the challenge of gender stereotyping often compromises the pursuit of justice for women, particularly in cases of sexual assault and rape.

## THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

### Liberal Feminism

Liberal feminism pushes for equality by reforming current legal and political systems, focusing on individual rights and equal opportunities. It suggests that women's disadvantages stem from unfair laws and practices, not inherent differences, and seeks legal changes for women to have the same rights as men. Critics argue this may not tackle deep-seated patriarchal biases in these systems, which could maintain gender stereotypes and disadvantages for women who don't fit the male norm. For example, laws supporting breastfeeding might unintentionally reinforce women's traditional caregiver roles by offering protections only to them, excluding men from childcare and perpetuating gendered assumptions about care giving.

### Radical Feminism

Radical feminism views patriarchy as the main power system oppressing women deeply embedded in all social institutions, including legal systems, which it sees as tools for male dominance. It argues that gender inequality is a core feature of patriarchal societies, requiring radical societal change for women's liberation rather than just legal adjustments. This critique extends to legal concepts like consent in sexual assault cases and media portrayals of women, which are seen as reinforcing their subjugation and demonstrating patriarchal control. It criticizes liberal feminism for being superficial, claiming that formal equality within a patriarchal framework cannot lead to true liberation and that legal reforms are insufficient to dismantle entrenched patriarchal structures. Radical feminism identifies the male-female division as society's primary conflict, with patriarchy as its foundational institution.

### Socialist Feminism

Socialist feminism connects women's oppression to capitalist economic systems, arguing that gender inequality results from both patriarchal domination and economic exploitation. It highlights how the commodification and devaluation of women's labor, especially in domestic and reproductive roles, contribute to their economic dependence and subordinate status under capitalism. This perspective calls for systemic changes that challenge both economic exploitation and gender discrimination for comprehensive gender justice. It also acknowledges that intersecting oppressions, as noted by feminist theories from women of color, queer feminists, and feminists with disabilities, create unique forms of subjugation not fully covered by traditional feminist frameworks.

### Postmodern Feminism

Postmodern feminism questions the understanding of a singular female experience and instead emphasizes the diversity of individual experiences, while thinking about gender as a social construct rather than solely as a biological concept. Postmodern feminism critiques general understandings of woman, as those definitions confuse the interdependent realities of race, class, and sexual identity experience in lived environments. It assesses the relationship of language, discourse, and power relations to understand the constitution and reproduction of societal gender norms to shape social and legal systems of

belonging, particularly for marginalized population.

## CRITIQUES OF PATRIARCHAL STRUCTURES

### Legal Language and Interpretation

The language and interpretation of law can often represent a bias towards patriarchy. Words that appear to be neutral may actually be reinforcing gender inequality and power differentials. Laws that appear to be gender-neutral can have a negative impact on women in that the law reinforces gender roles and exacerbates existing gaps. For example, laws related to employment and maternity often operate under the assumption of the male worker, thereby disadvantaging primary caretakers or women. The term "maternal wall" describes structural constraints that impede career progression for mothers. This can often translate into mothers taking breaks from work or earning less than their counterparts. Mother's obligations and the social expectation that a mother should prioritize her children and family over her career also contribute to the "motherhood penalty" whereby mothers are considered less capable. The history of legal definitions and claims of motherhood has often left generations of women behind since its inception, with rigid definitions that did not keep pace with new developments in reproductive technology and claims of motherhood.

### Gender Bias in Criminal Law

Patriarchal norms substantially influence criminal law to sustain gendered stereotypes that influence victim/offender treatment, particularly in cases of sexual and domestic violence. This bias not only affects victim assessments of credibility based on gendered expectations but can also justify leniency for the violence of male perpetrators toward women.

Historically, legal systems have been unable to effectively address the issue of marital rape due to the patriarchal entitlement of males within marriage and female consent. Additionally, the use of artificial intelligence will likely increase gender bias and will lead to systemic unfairness within the criminal justice system and raises the stakes for critical assessments of AI design and implementation for gender inequality.

### Family Law and Women's Rights

Family law often upholds patriarchal systems, affecting women's rights in marriage, divorce, and child custody. Legal systems commonly assign women primary responsibility for childcare and household tasks, limiting their economic independence. Despite progress in gender equality laws, women often face disadvantages in dividing assets, receiving spousal support, and in custody cases, leading to financial instability after divorce. Historically, laws favored male control over property, increasing women's financial reliance. Family law also tends to undervalue women's non-financial contributions, like care giving, in asset distribution, worsening their financial insecurity after separation.

### International Law and Gender Equality

Although the intention of international law is to advance gender equality, its effectiveness is often hampered by national sovereignty and entrenched patriarchal systems that hinder anything beyond the minimal threshold

required for adoption. Consequently, the development of women's rights differs from country to country, often prioritizing domestic legal systems versus customary practices, instead of international norms. Although there are autonomous international frameworks, such as the United Nations and associated documents, there are still enormous global discrepancies regarding the practical implementation of women's rights. To illustrate this point, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) is prominent in its detail, clarity, and structure if one considers the state-party reservations, and the diversity of interpretations attached to CEDAW, the effectiveness of the document is often undermined. Furthermore, attempts to integrate international legal human rights principles into domestic legal frameworks are complicated by political will, and the presence of domestic legal systems.

## **GENDER JUSTICE AND LEGAL REFORMS**

Legislative reforms play an important role in addressing gender imbalances and can be accomplished by amending or enacting laws that promote fair equilibrium in property, political participation, and access to legal rights. In addition, repealing outdated legislation that promotes gender bias, along with adopting legislation aimed at women's equality in the workplace including maternity pay and protection from discrimination, is also a significant component of legislative reform. International agreements have helped facilitate national and state legislation aimed at improving women's rights and protections at the workplace, including in India.

Judicial activism can also impose gender justice where laws are interpreted through a lens of equal treatment and equality, and where states are expected to ratify and abide by their international commitments. Judicial activism can challenge discriminatory laws and behaviors and assess laws and protocols for gender sensitization, as well. In India, judicial activism has often addressed women's issues by employing the constitutional lens to advance gender equality in the workplace and reaffirm the state's duty to protect human rights and to account for unpaid care work. Court decisions often drive legislative change by highlighting outdated or unfair laws.

Policy changes are vital for turning legal principles into practical strategies that fight gender inequality and empower women. These changes usually aim to improve access to education and healthcare, boost economic participation, and increase political representation, often using methods like gender mainstreaming and affirmative action. Policies that support work-life balance, such as flexible work schedules and accessible childcare, are crucial for helping women manage family responsibilities alongside their careers and leadership roles. Education is also a fundamental tool for empowering women to understand and claim their rights, helping to challenge gender biases. The Beijing Platform for Action and the Convention on the Rights of the Child highlight the global commitment to protecting and empowering women, influencing national policy frameworks.

## **INTERSECTIONALITY AND FEMINIST JURISPRUDENCE**

### **Race and Gender**

When race and gender combine, women of color often face greater discrimination. They experience unique difficulties that neither racism nor sexism alone can fully explain. An intersectional framework is essential for understanding and addressing these complex disadvantages in legal and social systems. Feminist legal theory, particularly liberal feminism's focus on individual freedom and equality, needs to consider how race and gender interact to create different forms of oppression, moving beyond just sex discrimination. This broader view acknowledges that legal systems often ignore the specific situations of women from marginalized racial groups, continuing inequality through seemingly fair laws and policies. Kimberley Crenshaw's concept of intersectionality highlights how individuals can face combined discrimination based on multiple identities, including gender, race, class, and disability.

### **Class and Gender**

This perspective is important for understanding the greater challenges faced by women of color, such as in employment discrimination. They encounter barriers like limited access to leadership roles, unequal pay, and exclusion from professional networks. Societal norms often influence women's job choices, directing them towards unpaid or informal work due to traditional gender roles and family responsibilities. Current anti-discrimination laws are not enough to address these combined biases, requiring the inclusion of intersectionality in company policies to create fairer and more inclusive hiring and workplace environments. This approach recognizes that a Black woman might experience discrimination stemming from both her race and gender simultaneously, affecting her opportunities and access to resources in ways that cannot be separated.

### **Sexuality and Gender**

An intersectional lens demonstrates how sexual orientation and gender identity, when combined with other societal constructs, lead to discrimination and marginalization within legal structures that disproportionately affect LGBTQ+ individuals in employment, housing, and family law. This complexity demonstrates the shortcomings and limitations of legal frameworks that only consider discrimination based on one type of identity category, as they cannot fully capture the experiences of individuals navigating multiple forms of oppression. As a result, an intersectional framework is necessary for legal scholarship and practice in order to address the broad injustices encountered by those with multiple social identities. Social structures, judicial rulings, and cultural narratives can disproportionately affect individuals, whose identities intersect, rendering unique forms of vulnerability and disadvantage.

### **Disability and Gender**

Women with disabilities encounter distinct challenges that derive from the cumulative effects of gender-bias and ableism. As a result, they face pervasive and disproportionate discrimination across several important settings, such as employment, healthcare, and freedom from violence. The experience of overlapping forms of



discrimination informs their exclusion from society, as well as inadequate legal solutions, which points to the significance of policy and advocacy, that adopts an intersectional paradigm. An intersectional framework is vital to create a real, legal and social framework that acknowledges greater disadvantages for women with disabilities to access full and equal participation in society. The early work of feminist scholars recognized state-sanctioned disadvantages experienced by Black women in the U.S. context. The influence of this scholarship played a significant role in the development of intersectionality as a means to address the complex interplay between race-based discrimination and gender discrimination. This approach reviles legal engagement that use a "single-axis" model, as these approaches often erase the complicated and nuanced bases of discrimination that combine to create unique marginalization experiences of individuals who possess multiple intersectionally oppressed identities. Anti-discrimination law within the EU, traditionally, has framed sex, disability, or race as singular issues, for this they have faced the ire of scholarship and advocates, as the legal framework has not accommodated intersectional methodologies. However, historical discussion in the field of disability rights has focused on what is shared in common between 'disabled'/non-disabled persons, and moved beyond identity-based politics to arrive at common understanding.

## CONCLUSION

This view often ignores the unique challenges disabled women or women from racial or ethnic minority groups encounter, and these women may be subject to additional discrimination related to their intersecting identities. Additionally, gendered analyses demonstrate that women, particularly those who have taken maternity leave or leave for childcare purposes, are the target of pregnancy-related discrimination and women face barriers to advancement and participation in the economy. This manifests itself in

hiring practices, limited promotional access, and increased economic precocity, particularly amongst women in the informal sector. Similarly, there is a significant gap in the workplace protections related to maternity leave policy. Maternity leave policies can also, unintentionally, disincentivize employers from hiring women, further reinforcing current inequality. This can create a work environment where women may be apprehensive of backlash if they express their rights to reproduce. This further exacerbates the lack of income certainty during pregnancy and postpartum for women, which may force them to return to work too early, compromising their health and the length of breastfeeding. Perhaps most concerning, systemic discrimination is common around the world, even when take into account variances in maternity leave legislation, and often leads to severe socioeconomic conditions for women workers. Though legal frameworks that exist within wider European legal structures for inclusion and equity appear to allow for inclusionary practices while safeguarding privacy and ethical considerations, we must remain cognizant of the discriminatory practices that AI in hiring may perpetuate against women and women with multiple vulnerabilities. For additional insight on how the legal systems globally can ensure the continuation of the marginalization of women employees, particularly women with disabilities, in employment we must consider how patriarchal systems of discrimination have continued to advance and permeate the systems of compliance, as well barriers to providing reasonable accommodation, although some areas continue to improve. For example, many countries have progressed in providing mothers maternity leave entitlements, however, mothers continue to face workplace discrimination that was unmade to address workplace discrimination even before maternity leave use, workplace discrimination continues to hinder professional development for women and women with disabilities and limit their upward mobility through the corporate structure.

## REFERENCES

1. Abrokwa, A. (2018). "When They Enter, We All Enter": Opening the Door to Intersectional Discrimination Claims Based on Race and Disability. *Michigan Journal of Race & Law*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.36643/mjrl.24.1.when>
2. Addati, L., Majhi, N., Son, K., Böger, T., & Carlson, R. (n.d.). The Maternity Benefit Act, 1961.
3. Ademiluka, S. O. (2018). Patriarchy and Women Abuse: Perspectives from Ancient Israel and Africa. *Old Testament Essays*, 31(2). <https://doi.org/10.17159/2312-3621/2018/v31n2a5>
4. Aguayo-Romero, R. A. (2020). (Re)centering Black Feminism Into Intersectionality Research. In *American Journal of Public Health* (Vol. 111, Issue 1, p. 101). American Public Health Association. <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2020.306005>
5. Anjali, Lukose, L. P., & Singh, A. P. (2021). MATERNITY BENEFIT (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2017: A Socio-Legal Study with Special Emphasis on its Implications in Private Sector.
6. Atılğan, E. Ü. (2024). Complicit masculinity of judges. *Oñati Socio-Legal Series*, 14(5), 1497. <https://doi.org/10.35295/osls.iisl.2086>
7. Aziz, M. R. Ab. (2023). Women's Double Burden in the Family Between Culture and Discrimination. *Potret Pemikiran*, 27(2), 227. <https://doi.org/10.30984/pp.v27i2.2782>
8. Bahuguna, P. (2023). The Effectiveness of Indian Labor Laws in Protecting the Rights of Workers: A Quantitative Perspective of Indian Labor Law. 55(1). <https://doi.org/10.48047/pne.2018.55.1.36>
9. Beco, G. de. (2017). Protecting the Invisible: An Intersectional Approach to International Human Rights Law. *Human Rights Law Review*, 17(4), 633. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngx029>
10. Borbás, B. (2019). Women's Equality from a Legal Perspective International and Transatlantic Overview. *Acta Humana*, 7(4), 17. <https://doi.org/10.32566/ah.2019.4.2>
11. Carbone, J. R. (1994). A Feminist Perspective on Divorce. *The Future of Children*, 4(1), 183. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1602484>
12. Carlassare, E. (2000). Socialist and Cultural Ecofeminism Allies in Resistance. *Ethics & the Environment*, 5(1), 89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1085-6633\(99\)00025-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1085-6633(99)00025-x)
13. Chakrabarty, B. (2015). Narrativising Women

- Empowerment in India. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 61(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019556120150101>
14. Conejo, M. A. (2013). At the intersection of feminist and disability rights movements. From equality in difference to human diversity claims. In *Research in social science and disability* (p. 23). [https://doi.org/10.1108/s1479-3547\(2013\)0000007004](https://doi.org/10.1108/s1479-3547(2013)0000007004)
15. Crenshaw, K. W. (1998). Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory, and Antiracist Politics. In *Oxford University Press eBooks* (p. 314). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198782063.003.0016>
16. Dowd, N. E. (2008). Masculinities and Feminist Legal Theory. <https://scholarship.law.ufl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1421&context=facultypub>
17. Faragalla, W. A., Tiron-Tudor, A., Stanca, L., & Deliu, D. (2023). Gender Discrimination Insights in Romanian Accounting Organisations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(1), 797. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010797>
18. Fee, E. (1975). Women and Health Care: A Comparison of Theories. *International Journal of Health Services*, 5(3), 397. <https://doi.org/10.2190/vh0e-5hq5-ffk2-ugyn>
19. Fehrenbacher, A. E., & Patel, D. (2019). Translating the theory of intersectionality into quantitative and mixed methods for empirical gender transformative research on health. *Culture Health & Sexuality*, 22, 145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2019.1671494>
20. Feltham, T. (2020). Working Paper No. 46, Foundations for Feminist Legal Theory. [https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1047&context=econ\\_workingpapers](https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1047&context=econ_workingpapers)
21. Feminist jurisprudence. (1993). *Choice Reviews Online*, 30(11), 30. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.30-6394>
22. Fernando, F., Johnson, J., Christopherson, K., Yiadom, A., Yazid, H., & Thiemann, C. (2022). Tackling Legal Impediments to Women's Economic Empowerment. IMF Working Paper, 2022(37), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400203640.001>
23. Geller, P. L. (2021). What Is Intersectionality? In *Bioarchaeology and social theory* (p. 61). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-70704-0\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-70704-0_4)
24. Gillis, N. B. (2021). Sexism in the Judiciary: The Importance of Bias Definition in NLP and In Our Courts. 45. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.gebnlp-1.6>
25. Gottfried, H. (2015). Why Workers' Rights Are Not Women's Rights. *Laws*, 4(2), 139. <https://doi.org/10.3390/laws4020139>
26. Graham, G. (1994). Liberal vs Radical Feminism Revisited. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 11(2), 155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5930.1994.tb00105.x>
27. Gupta, M., Madabushi, J. S., & Gupta, N. (2023). Critical Overview of Patriarchy, Its Interferences With Psychological Development, and Risks for Mental Health [Review of Critical Overview of Patriarchy, Its Interferences With Psychological Development, and Risks for Mental Health]. *Cureus*, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.40216>
28. Gupta, S. (2020). Judicial Exposition of Gender Justice as a Constitutional Mandate: A Narrative from India. *Brawijaya Law Journal*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.blj.2020.007.02.03>
29. Hartanto, R. V. P., Sulistiyono, A., & Isharyanto, I. (2019). Feminist Perspective towards the Legal Theory on Fisher-Women's Legal Entity. *Fiat Justisia Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, 13(4), 345. <https://doi.org/10.25041/fiatjustisia.v13no4.1737>
30. Ho, J. Q. H., Hartanto, A., Koh, A., & Majeed, N. M. (2025). Gender Biases within Artificial Intelligence and ChatGPT: Evidence, Sources of Biases and Solutions. *Computers in Human Behavior Artificial Humans*, 100145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbah.2025.100145>
31. Kaur, J. (2021). Changing dimensions of right against self-incrimination: an analytical study. *Revista de Drept Constituțional*, (01), 32-42.
32. Batar, S. (2021). Review of capital punishment. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10(12), 422-427.
33. Kumari, S., Nanduri, S., Sharma, H., & Batar, S. (2023). Women in politics: examining their impact on policy development—A comprehensive review. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, 6.
34. Tyagi, N., Jha, R. S., Chaudhary, A., & Batar, S. (2021). WOMEN IN DUAL ROLE; A SOCIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE. *Ilkogretim Online*, 20(1).
35. Kaur, J. (2019). Criminalization of Politics and Politicization of Criminals: A Need for Decriminalization. *Maharishi Journal of Law and Society*, 2(1&), 2.
36. Batar, S. (2021). Gender inequality in india: an overview. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(12), 458-464.
37. Gupta, M. Y. C. (2025). SURVEILLANCE LAWS AND PRIVACY CONCERNS. *CONTOURS OF CONTEMPORARY LEGAL RESEARCH: A MULTIDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVE-VOLUME 3: CRIMINAL JUSTICE, FORENSICS, AND EMERGING LEGAL PARADIGMS*, 164.
38. Batar, S. (2021). Concept of gender inequality. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 11(11), 171-176.
39. Sharma, M. A., Mahal, S. G., Irene, M., Batar, M. S., Gupta, M. Y. C., & Kumar, M. A. Evolving Jurisprudence On Marital Rape: A Comparative Legal Study.
40. Kaur, J. (2024). Nature and Spirituality as a Legal Response to Environmental Jurisprudence. Available at SSRN 5142745.
41. Batar, S. (2021). Acquaintance rape: A review. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(11), 1059-

- 1066.
42. Gupta, M. Y. C. (2025). JUDICIARY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE INDIAN DEMOCRATIC FRAMEWORK. *Archives*.
43. Batar, S. (2021). An overview on cyber crime. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10(12), 167-172.
44. Gupta, Y. C. STATE COURTS AND JUDICIAL POWER: STATE LEVEL JUSTICE. *STATE GOVERNMENT AND ADMINISTRATION*, 28.
45. Kaur, J. (2019). Video-Conferencing in Courts in India: An Emerging Trend. *International Journal of Current Advance Research*.
46. Batar, S. (2021). The impact of legal cinema on ancient law reform. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 11(10), 366-371.
47. Gupta, M. Y. C. Chapter-26 JUDICIARY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE INDIAN DEMOCRATIC FRAMEWORK. *CONTOURS OF CONTEMPORARY LEGAL RESEARCH*, 269.
48. Batar, S. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND IPR: COMPLICATIONS AND SOLUTIONS. *INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS*, 124.
49. Sehrawat, A., Katiyar, A. S., Vaishali, M. B. D., Sharma, M. A., & Gupta, M. Y. C. CYBERSECURITY LAWS IN THE DIGITAL AGE: GAPS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.
50. Batar, S. CURRENT MECHANISM OF JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY IN INDIA. *JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE & ACCOUNTABILITY*, 39.
51. Moparty, H., & Irene, M. (2021). "PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM AND COVID CONUNDRUM"(With special reference to Primary Health Care). *PUBLIC HEALTH*, 28(07).
52. Kaur, J. Mob Lynching Vis-a-Vis Rule of Law and Democracy: Recent Legislative and Judicial Trends in India.
53. Batar, S., Tyagi, D., Jha, R., & Chaudhary, A. (2021). Women In Dual Role. A Sociological Perspective.
54. Severi, F. C. (2016). Justiça em uma perspectiva de gênero: elementos teóricos, normativos e metodológicos. *Revista Digital de Direito Administrativo*, 3(3), 574. <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2319-0558.v3i3p574-601>
55. Sharma, R. H., Asselin, R., Stainton, T., & Hole, R. (2025). Ableism and Employment: A Scoping Review of the Literature [Review of Ableism and Employment: A Scoping Review of the Literature]. *Social Sciences*, 14(2), 67. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14020067>
56. Shreve, C. (2016). Economic Efficiency or Gender Equality: Conceptualizing an Equitable "Social Framing" for Economic Evaluations to Support Gender Equality in Disaster Risk- and Environmental-Management Decision-Making. *Resources*, 5(3), 25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources5030025>
57. Singh, A. (2025). Feminist Approach to Gendered Laws in India: A Critique. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5063964>
58. Smit, D., & Tessororf, E. (2021). PATRIARCHY AND UNPAID WORK AS BARRIERS TO TOP-LEVEL FEMALE APPOINTMENTS: ARE SOUTH AFRICA'S LABOUR LAWS ENOUGH NOT TO KEEP A GOOD WOMAN DOWN? *Journal for Juridical Science*, 46(1). <https://doi.org/10.18820/24150517/jjs46.i1.5>
59. Syed, I. U. (2021). Feminist Political Economy of Health: Current Perspectives and Future Directions [Review of Feminist Political Economy of Health: Current Perspectives and Future Directions]. *Healthcare*, 9(2), 233. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9020233>
60. Thomas, C., MacMillan, C. P., McKinnon, M., Torabi, H., Osmond-McLeod, M. J., Swavley, E., Armer, T., & Doyle, K. (2021). Seeing and Overcoming the Complexities of Intersectionality. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202101.0172.v1>
61. Unnithan, M., & Kothari, J. (2025). The Undue Importance of Marriage in India's Current Surrogacy Legislation. *Amicus Curiae*, 6(2), 324. <https://doi.org/10.14296/ac.v6i2.5753>
62. Volosevici, D. (2024). Complexities and Legal Challenges of Fostering Diversity in the Workplace. In *Advances in human resources management and organizational development book series* (p. 359). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3876-6.ch014>
63. Wendling, K. (2018). A Classification of Feminist Theories. *Les Ateliers de l'Éthique*, 3(2), 8. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1044593ar>
64. Wickenden, M. (2023). Disability and other identities?—how do they intersect? *Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fresc.2023.1200386>
65. Yadav, A., & Kumari, R. (2021). Women and Social Sustainability: A Critical Parametric Evaluation of Urban Settings in Developing Countries. *IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 795(1), 12003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/795/1/012003>
66. Zhao, H. (2024). Navigating Identity: The Intersectional and Postcolonial Feminist Perspective on Immigrant Women's Dilemmas. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 183, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202418302006>